

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/345,092	WALKER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tri V. Nguyen	1751	

All Participants:

(1) Tri V. Nguyen.

(2) Carson Fincham.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 March 2007

Time: 1PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103(a)

Claims discussed:

59

Prior art documents discussed:

Joseph (US 5,878,401)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An authorization for an examiner's amendment was granted by the Applicant's Representative to cancel claim 59 without prejudice to its continued prosecution in a continuation application. Details of the amendment are attached herewith.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)